

Samfundsmøte

31. oktober 2020 kl. 18:00

USA - system i rotet eller rot i systemet?

Beslutningsprotokoll

1. The meeting is set: 18:05
2. Board's Protocol


No motions to report from this week.

3. Open Lectern

3.1: Eivind Rindal: Students in the humanities are not interested in investigating their sources (often in greek and latin). Students should have a minimum of 30 study points in latin, 30 study points in history of ideas, 30 study points in general philosophy and 30 points in critical thinking. You need this to understand the fundamentals of our society

3.2 Nina Salvesen: wants fotofagskolen to be able to apply for membership at Studentersamfundet. Encourages Storsalen to address this issue and think about whether they would oppose this or not.

4. Introduction by Vincent Baugh
5. Introduction by Lisa Cooper
6. Introduction by Jennifer Bailey
7. Entertainment by Strindens Promenade Orchester
8. Break: 19:07 - 19:19
9. Chat about the US with questions from the audience



9.1 Eirik Sande: I have a three-parted question:

- 1) Vincent, when was America great?
- 2) Lisa, when was America better?
- 3) Jennifer, what are your comments on these slogans?

9.2 Sigurd Eilerås: Why do judges in the supreme court align with politics and parties? That would be a strange idea in Norway.

9.3 Eivind Rindal: Is there actually any hope? What are your parties track record the last 40 years (to the party candidates).

9.4 Håkon Eide: If you are in support of the American two party system, please explain why. If not, explain what would be a better alternative. Please include what you think about the Norwegian system.

9.5 Vemund Rye: Why are tax policies so tightly connected to other issues like race? Could this indicate an underlying team sport mentality?

9.6 Sander Eide: Wouldn't changing from a two-party system to multiple parties give more people incentive to vote? Since people might feel like there is a party for them? How else could you improve the voter turnover?

9.7: Hamza Tariq: How is Trump a "people's guy" when he doesn't appear so when he talks? He doesn't seem logical. How do you justify that he puts money in people's pockets? How can you say it's okay that Trump is racist?

10. Other

10.1 Bent Heier Johansen: Lei av smittevernsrestriksjoner. Men følg de! Så kommer vi oss tilbake til normalen. NTNU, please kjør hjemmeeksamen på alt!

11. Critique of meeting

No criticism

12. Meeting adjourned: 20:26



Secretary
Sverre Sørkjerd



Chairman
Karen Mjør